Appendix E

Proposed Development at Lot Nos. 332 (part), 333(part), 334(part), 335 (part), 337 (part), 338 (part), 339 (part), 340 (part), 341 (part), 342 (part), 344, 345 (part), 346 (part), 347 (part), 348, 350, 351, 352 (part), 354 (part), 355 (part), 356 (part), 357, 358, 360 (part), 361, 362 (part), 363 (part), 365 (part), and 366 (part) and Adjoining Government Land in DD332L, Cheung Sha, Lantau Island, New Territories

GEOTECHNICAL PLANNING REVIEW REPORT

REVISION 1

CONTENTS

1.	INTI	RODUCTION	1
2.	THE	E SITE AND THE FEATURES	1
3.	DES	SK STUDY	2
3	3.1	Geological Maps	2
	3.1.	1 Solid Geology	2
	3.1.2	2 Superficial Geology	2
	3.1.3	3 Structural Geology	2
3	3.2	Enhanced Natural Terrain Landslide Inventory	2
З	3.3	Historical Landslide Catchment (HLC) Inventory	3
3	3.4	Large Landslide Study	3
З	3.5	Reported Landslide Incidents	3
3	3.6	Relevant Previous Ground Investigation Works	3
З	3.7	DH Orders	3
З	8.8	Stage 2 Studies	3
З	3.9	Stage 3 Studies	3
4. HIL	IMP LSIDE	ACTS OF PROPOSED WORKS ON EXISTING SLOPES/RETAINING WALLS & NATURAL E	4
4	4.1	Impacts from the Proposed Works to the Registered Slope Features	4
4	1.2	Impacts from the Proposed Works to the Natural Hillside	4
5.	CON	NCLUSION	5

FIGURES

- Figure 2 Registered Man-made Features
- Figure 3 Past Instabilities
- Figure 3A Section 1-1
- Figure 3B Section 2-2
- Figure 4 Geological Map

APPENDIX

Appendix A Photographs

1. INTRODUCTION

Philip So & Associates Ltd. was appointed to carry out Geotechnical Planning Review Report (GPRR) for the premises at Lot Nos. 332 (part), 333(part), 334(part), 335 (part), 337 (part), 338 (part), 339 (part), 340 (part), 341 (part), 342 (part), 344, 345 (part), 346 (part), 347 (part), 348, 350, 351, 352 (part), 354 (part), 355 (part), 356 (part), 357, 358, 360 (part), 361, 362 (part), 363 (part), 365 (part), and 366 (part) and Adjoining Government Land in DD332L, Cheung Sha, Lantau Island, New Territories

This GPPR is made based on desk study and review of available documentary information and proposed development plan. The geology and site conditions are described. Potential geotechnical constraints are identified in the assessment.

2. THE SITE AND THE FEATURES

The site is at a relatively flat ground at Cheung Sha in Lantau Island. Site photos taken in February 2024 are presented in **Appendix A** (see **Photos A to H**). The site location plan with photo directions is presented in **Figure 1**.

According to the available SIMAR reports retrieved from Lands Department and SIS records obtained from Geotechnical Engineering Office (GEO), there is no registered geotechnical feature lies within or in the vicinity of the site (see **Figure 2**). However, during the site visit in February 2024, some cut slopes were found in the vicinity of the site (see **Photos A and H**).

3. DESK STUDY

Desk study has been carried out to search and review the existing building records, previous ground investigation data and geotechnical study reports kept by the Geotechnical Information Unit (GIU) of Geotechnical Engineering Office (GEO) and the Buildings Department (BD).

3.1 Geological Maps

The geology of the Study Area is shown on the Hong Kong Geological Survey (HKGS) Map Sheet 13 (Shek Pik), 1:20,000-scale HGM20 series. The local geology of the Study Area is presented in **Figure 4** and described below.

3.1.1 Solid Geology

The 1:20,000 scale geological maps indicated that regional area around the Site is underlain by feldsparphyric rhyolite (rf).

3.1.2 Superficial Geology

The Site is underlain by debris flow deposit (Qd).

3.1.3 Structural Geology

No fault or photolineament has been recorded within or in the vicinity of the Site.

3.2 Enhanced Natural Terrain Landslide Inventory

In 1995, the GEO compiled the Natural Terrain Landslide Inventory (NTLI) from an interpretation of high-altitude (8,000ft and above) aerial photographs dated from 1945 to 1994 (King, 1999). In 2007, the GEO produced an Enhanced Natural Terrain Landslide Inventory (ENTLI) using low-altitude (8,000ft and below) aerial photographs to update the NTLI.

In accordance with GEO Report No. 138 (GEO, 2016), landslides are classed as either "Relict" or "Recent", depending on their appearance in aerial photographs. "Relict" landslides are defined as those where the main scarp is well-defined but vegetation has re-established on the scar on the earliest set of available aerial photographs. "Recent" landslides are defined as having occurred within the timespan of the aerial photograph coverage. These are typically identified as having a light tone on the aerial photographs and are bare of vegetation.

The ENTLI has recorded no relict or recent landslide within the Site (see Figure 3).

3.3 Historical Landslide Catchment (HLC) Inventory

Historical Landslide Catchments (HLCs) have been defined by GEO based on the results of the ENTLI. According to the inventory, no catchment is located at or in the vicinity of the Site (see **Figure 3**).

3.4 Large Landslide Study

The Large Landslide database was prepared by Scott Wilson (1999) for the GEO. Interpretation of landslide details with Map Sheet Ref No. 13-NE-C was conducted using the low altitude (3,900 ft.) 1963 aerial photographs to identify features thought to be landslides with source area greater than 20 m wide. The database has no record of large landslides within or close to the Site.

3.5 Reported Landslide Incidents

The GEO has recorded no landslide incident within the Site. (see **Figure 3**)

3.6 Relevant Previous Ground Investigation Works

There is no previous ground investigation report relevant to the Site.

3.7 DH Orders

No DH Order is present relevant to the features within the Site.

3.8 Stage 2 Studies

According to the GIU, there is no Stage 2 Studies carried out for the features within the Site.

3.9 Stage 3 Studies

According to the GIU, there is no Stage 3 Studies carried out for the features within the Site.

4. IMPACTS OF PROPOSED WORKS ON EXISTING SLOPES/RETAINING WALLS & NATURAL HILLSIDE

4.1 Impacts from the Proposed Works to the Registered Slope Features

As mentioned in Section 2, there is no registered slope feature within or at the vicinity of the application site. For those cut slopes in the vicinity of the site found during the site visit, which may affect or be affected by the proposed development, further study of the slopes is required.

4.2 Impacts from the Proposed Works to the Natural Hillside

As mentioned in Section 3.2 and 3.3, the ENTLI has recorded a no relict or recent landslide and no HLC catchment within the Site. The natural hillside (NH1) (as shown in **Figure 3** and **Photos A**, **B**, **C** and **F**) surrounds from north to east of the application site has an angular elevation more than 20° from the application site (see **Figures 3A and 3B**). The angular elevation is above the 'Alert Criteria' of 20° with respect to the concerned natural hillside according to the GEO Report No. 138 (2nd Edition). In addition, the natural hillside (NH2) located at southwest of the application site may affect by the proposed development (as shown in **Figure 3**). Thus, further study of the natural hillsides is required.

5. CONCLUSION

Based on the above discussion, it can be concluded that the proposed development is considered to be feasible from geotechnical point of view. The construction would be straight forward unlikely posting particular problems to the surrounding area under careful planning, proper execution and vigilant supervision.

It is essential to search and review the background information of existing building, geotechnical features and underground services within and in the vicinity of the site. Site investigation is proposed to reveal/confirm the subsoils and the ground regime within and in the vicinity of the site as well as to determine the engineering properties of subsoils and rock. The ground investigation field works should be preceded under supervision of suitably qualified engineers and technically competent persons conforming the requirements specified in the "Code of Practice for Site Supervision 2009" published by the BD.

For safety and cost effective, the foundation design and retaining wall stability assessment and excavation planning as well as the design of geotechnical structure should be based on geological horizons inferred from the ground investigation results, groundwater table interpreted from the piezometer/standpipe monitoring records and geotechnical parameters determined and adopted by field and laboratory testing.

A comprehensive precautionary monitoring program including settlement markers, tiling, vibration check points as well as groundwater observation wells shall be implemented to ensure demolition of foundation of existing buildings and substructure construction being carried out safety and soundly. FIGURES

Site Location Plan



Registered Man-made Features



Past Instabilities



Figure 3A

Section 1-1



```
Figure 3A - Section 1-1
```

Figure 3B

Section 2-2



Figure 3B - Section 2-2

Geological Map



Jtt - Eutaxite Approximate Extent of Application Site Approximate Extent of Application Site Superficial Geology elm - Marine mud HHH - Undivided; mainly dark grey marine mud ms - Marine sand ap - Aplite msb - Sand banks mss - Sand sheets; channel infill PCK - Undivided; clay, silt, sand and gravel Qa - Alluvium b - Basalt Qam - Estuarine deposits Qams - Estuarine mud and sand Qat - Terraced alluvium Qb - Beach deposits - Sand Qbb - Beach deposits - Cobbles and boulders Qbr - Beach deposits - Beach rock Qbs - Back shore deposits - Sand or gravel cs - Chert QCK - Alluvium; some estuarine and marine deposits d - Dacite ~Qct~Ghapmel-and-transgreesive-deposit Od - Debris flow deposits e - Eutaxite Odi - Shae deposits Qdt - Mixed debris flow and talus deposits QHH - Marine mud Qi - Estuarine deposits Qmm - Marine mud Qms - Marine sand Qpa - Terraced alluvium Opd - Debris flow deposits Qrb - Raised beach deposits - Sand QSW - Estuarine and marine deposits Qt - Talus (rockfall) deposits tbm - Marine mud Solid Geology Cmp - Metasiltstone, metasandstone; graphite-bearing Cts - Undivided, metasandstone with metaconglomerate and phyllite DBH - Sandstone and Siltstone EPC - Undivided, dark grey thinly bedded siltstone and dolomitic siltstone with mudstone JAC - Fine ash vitric tuff JCB - Undivided, mainly trachydacite and rhyolite lava JHI - Undivided, mainly fine ash tuff JLC - Undivided, mainly tuffite JLH - Coarse ash crystal tuff JLT - Rhyolite lava and tuff JMD - Coarse ash crystal tuff s - Sandstone JMK - Undivided, mainly tuffaceous mudstone, siltstone and breccia sl - Siltstone JNM - Undivided, mainly fine ash vitric welded tuff with lapilli tuff JSK - Undivided, dacite lava with tuff, sandstone and siltstone JSM - Fine ash to coarse ash tuffs, tuff-breccia and tuffite JSS - Undivided, mainly eutaxite JTC - Mudstone and siltstone JTM - Coarse ash crystal tuff JTS - Sandstone, siltstone and mudstone with conglomerate and tuff JTU - Andesite with tuff and tuffite JYT - Coarse ash crystal tuff Jcs - Eutaxite Jln - Tuffite and tuff tt - Tuffite Jmw - Trachydacite lava Jnl - Crystal and vitric tuff v - Vent material Jpk - Siltstone, tuffite and tuff Jsl - Tuff-breccia Jsp - Lapilli-ash crystal tuff

KKO - Calcareous breccia with conglomerate and coarse sandstone KPI - Conglomerate and coarse sandstone with siltstone KPS - Sanstone and siltstone with conglomerate; tuffaceous conglomerate and sandstone at its base PTH - Mudstone, siltstone and sandstone a - Andesite or Andesite lava as - Aegirine-bearing siltstone with dolomitic siltstone at - Altered tuff and sedimentary rock az - Zeolite-bearing siltstone with aegirine-bearing siltstone bbt - Block-bearing tuff br - Sedimentary breccia bt - Block-bearing tuff and tuffite ca - Coarse ash tuff cat - Coarse ash tuff cg - Conglomerate dz - Dolomitic siltstone with calcareous siltstone fa - Fine ash tuff gc - Coarse-grained granite, > 6mm gd - Granodiorite gdf - Fine-grained granodiorite, < 2mm gdm - Medium-grained granodiorite, 2-6mm gf - Fine-grained granite, < 2mm gfg - Greisenised fine-grained granite gfm - Fine- to medium-grained granite gm - Medium-grained granite, 2-6mm gr - Graphite schist or graphite bed I - Lamprophyre lq - Quartz latite It - Lapilli tuff m - Mudstone mq - Quartz monzonite p - Pegmatite pQ - Undifferentiated solid geology (offshore) q - Quartz vein gz - Quartzite r - Rhyolite lava rdf Faldsparphytic thyodacite rf - Feldsparphyric rhyolite m - Rhyolite lava rq - Quartzphyric rhyolite sls - Siltstone with sandstone sm - Siltstone and dolomitic siltstone with mudstone sqf - Fine-grained quartz syenite, < 2mm sqm - Medium-grained quartz syenite, 2-6mm ssl - Sandstone and siltstone t - Undifferentiated Tuff and tuffite ta - Trachyte lava tb - Tuff-breccia tbp - Tuff-breccia and pyroclastic breccia tq - Quartz trachyte

- ug Microgranite
- vt Vitric crystal tuff

APPENDIX

Appendix A

Photographs



Photo A General view of site entrance at southeast of the Site, looking northwest



Photo B General view of the eastern portion of the Site, looking northwest



Photo C General view of middle portion of the Site, looking northwest



Photo D General view of middle portion of the Site, looking southwest



Photo E General view of southern portion of the Site, looking south



Photo F General view of northern portion of the Site, looking east



Photo G General view of northern end of the Site, looking east



Photo H General view of southern end of the Site, looking west